60,469-092 PUS1 PA-000.05193-US

## **Amendments to the Drawings**

Please replace sheet 3/3, Figure 5, with the enclosed replacement sheet.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 0 5 2007

60,469-092 PUS1 PA-000.05193-US

#### REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the remarks and analysis contained in the Office Action. Claims 1, 16, 17 and 21 are amended above. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application where claims 1-24 are currently pending.

### **The Drawing Correction**

An amended Figure 5, including the reference number 304, is submitted. The objection to the drawings can be withdrawn.

### The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to claims 1, 17 and 21 sufficiently clarify those claims so that it is clear that the claims have adequate support in the specification to satisfy the enablement requirement. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 be withdrawn.

## The Rejection of Claims 16 and 20 Under 35 U.S.C. §103 Based Upon the Clarke, et al. and Baranda, et al. References

Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection based upon the proposed combination of the Clark, et al. and Baranda, et al. references can be withdrawn. Claim 16 no longer includes the sheave contact information that the Examiner proposed to add to the Clarke, et al. reference. None of the other factors in claim 16 is found in the Clarke, et al. or Baranda, et al. references. Applicant respectfully submits there is no prima facie case of obviousness.

# The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103 Based Upon the Robar, et al. and Baranda, et al. References

Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection must be withdrawn. The *Robar*, et al. reference could only apply as prior art against Applicants' application under 35 U.S.C. §102(e). This application has a PCT priority date of 16 March 2004. The *Robar*, et al. reference and Applicants' claimed invention were at the time the claimed invention was made, commonly owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC n 5 2007

60,469-092 PUS1 PA-000.05193-US

Accordingly, 35 U.S.C. §103(c) does not allow for the *Robar*, et al. reference to be used in a combination against Applicants' current claims. The rejection must be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully submits that this case is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will be useful for moving this case forward to being issued, Applicants' representative will be happy to discuss any issues regarding this application and can be contacted at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

3y: ===

David I. Gaskey, Reg. No. 37,139 400 W. Maple Rd. Ste. 350 Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

Dated: December 5, 2007

N:\Clients\OTIS ELEVATOR\IP00092 PUS1\PATENT\Response 12-07.doc

#### **CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this Response, relative to Application Serial No. 10/589,479, is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (5)) 273-8300) on December 5, 2007.

Theresa M. Palmateer